

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/973,560	10/09/2001	Mark A. Johnson	RD-28,600	7497	
7	7590 08/19/2003				
John S. Beulick		EXAMINER			
Armstrong Tea Suite 2600			THOMAS, COURTNEY D		
One Metropolitan Sq. St. Louis, MO 63102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2882		
			DATE MAILED: 08/19/2003	DATE MAILED: 08/19/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			ax				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/973,560	JOHNSON ET AL.					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Courtney Thomas	2882					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the c rrespondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 04 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applion 1) a timely filed amendment whit al (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	cation. A proper re ich places the appli	ply to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date o	-						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:						
(a) They raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ms.				
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	I be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment				
 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ reconsidered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	vance because: <u>See Continuation</u>	<u>Sheet</u> .					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13-18</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-12.							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disap	proved by the Exan	niner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other:		E C	usel				

Craig E. Church Primary Examiner C ntinuati n Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/973,560

ن م لا

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Faul et al. disclose a system comprising an X-ray source, detector, patient table and voice control system. As noted in Paper 10, Faul et al. (U.S. Patent 4,440,606) do not explicitly disclose a monitor for displaying images and a voice control system for controlling playback of images. Examiner notes that the system is configured such that the components of the system can be actuated by voice command (see abstract; column 3, lines 34-41) and further considers an audio amplifier an inherent device within a microphone whose primary function is to receive and amplify acoustical signals. In order to overcome the above noted deficiencies of the Faul et al. reference, Mattson et al. (U.S. Patent 5,303,148) is applied for its relevance to practitioners in radiology, surgery and others (column 1, lines 14-18; column 53-55). Mattson et al. teach a system comprising a monitor for displaying images and a voice control system configured to control playback of images. Mattson et al. teach that such a system enables the hands free viewing of selected images on a display while allowing a user to simultaneously carry out additional tasks. An immediate benefit of such a system can be realized in medical procedures such as surgery as taught by Mattson et al.